

## Outline

The last papers of A. Fronczak [1, 2] present a new, combinatorial approach to the perfect gas of clusters model of interacting fluids. This poster presents, an exact proof of one of the important assertions from [1]. The assertion states that for the canonical system in which one can distinguish  $k$  non-interacting clusters the partition function is given by the adequate Bell polynomial.

## Notation

Let us consider a system of  $N$  interacting particles. It can be shown that the grand partition function can be written as

$$\Xi(\beta, z) = \sum_{\Omega} e^{-\beta(E(\Omega) - \mu N(\Omega))} = 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} z^N Z(\beta, N), \quad (1)$$

where  $z = e^{\beta\mu}$  is fugacity and  $Z(\beta, N)$  is the partition function

$$Z(\beta, N) = \sum_{\Omega} e^{-\beta E(\Omega)} = \int_0^{\infty} g(E, N) e^{-\beta E} dE. \quad (2)$$

We introduce functions  $f(k, E)$  - probability distributions that the considered system, having energy  $E$  consists of  $k$  disjoint clusters.

$$\sum_{k=1}^N f(k, E) = h(E) \equiv 1. \quad (3)$$

## Bell polynomials

Incomplete Bell polynomials [3] are given by expression below

$$B_{N,k}(\{\phi_n(\beta)\}) = N! \sum \prod_{n=1}^{N-k+1} \frac{1}{c_n!} \left( \frac{\phi_n(\beta)}{n!} \right)^{c_n}, \quad (4)$$

where the summation takes place over all integers  $c_n \geq 0$ , such that  $\sum_n c_n = k$  and  $\sum_n n c_n = N$ . In fact this is the summation over all possible partitions of the set of  $N$  elements into  $k$  disjoint, non-empty clusters. It was shown [1] that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, N) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{\infty} f_N(k, E) g(E, N) e^{-\beta E} dE = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(-\beta)^k}{N!} B_{N,k}(\{\phi_n(\beta)\}). \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

Let us consider the canonical system of  $N$  interacting particles, at the temperature  $T$  ( $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ ). We assume that one can distinguish in the system  $1 \leq k \leq N$  non-interacting clusters, which may have different sizes. In such a configuration, with a given number of clusters  $k$ , the partition function of the system  $Z_k(\beta, N)$  is given by

$$Z_k(\beta, N) = \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(\{w_n(\beta)\}), \quad (5)$$

where  $w_n(\beta) = -\beta \phi_n(\beta)$ , and  $\phi_n(\beta)$  is  $n$ -th derivative of the grand thermodynamic potential.

## Proof

We prove Theorem by the induction with respect to  $N$ . The basis step for  $N = 2$  holds

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(\beta, 2) + Z_2(\beta, 2) &= \frac{1}{2} B_{2,1}(\{w_n(\beta)\}) + \frac{1}{2} B_{2,2}(\{w_n(\beta)\}) = \\ &= w_2(\beta) + w_1^2(\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where appropriate Bell polynomials are written out explicitly.

On the other hand, note that the following equation is true

$$w_1^2(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} B_{2,2}(\{w_n(\beta)\}) = \frac{1}{2} (Z_1(\beta, 1))^2 = Z_2(\beta, 2), \quad (7)$$

Eqs. (6) and (7) gives the basis step for  $N = 2$ .

The statement which we want to prove looks as follows

$$P(N): \quad \forall_{k=1, \dots, N} \quad Z_k(\beta, N) = \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(\{w_n(\beta)\}). \quad (8)$$

From the fact, that clusters do not interact, the partition function can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k(\beta, N) &= \int_0^{\infty} f_N(k, E) g(E, N) e^{-\beta E} dE = \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(\{Z_1(\beta, n)\}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

## Example

Let us consider case  $k = 1$  - there is only one cluster consisting of all  $N$  particles which can interact each other.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, N) &= Z_1(\beta, N) = \frac{(-\beta)}{N!} B_{N,1}(\{\phi_n(\beta)\}) = \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{N!} \phi_N(\beta) = -\frac{\beta}{N!} \left. \frac{\partial^N \Phi(\beta, z)}{\partial z^N} \right|_{z=0}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof - continuation

Using statements  $P(m)$ , for  $m = 1, \dots, N-1$ , one may rewrite Eq. (9) into the following form

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k(\beta, N) &= \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(\{Z_1(\beta, n)\}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(B_{1,1}(\{w_n(\beta)\}), \dots, B_{N-1,1}(\{w_n(\beta)\}), Z_1(\beta, N)). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From the fact that that  $B_{m,1}(\{x_n\}) = x_m$  one can obtain

$$Z_k(\beta, N) = \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(\{w_1(\beta), \dots, w_{N-1}(\beta), Z_1(\beta, N)\}).$$

This proves the statement  $P(N)$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(\beta, N) &= \sum_{k=1}^N Z_k(\beta, N) - \sum_{k=2}^N Z_k(\beta, N) = \\ &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{k=1}^N B_{N,k}(\{w_n(\beta)\}) - \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{k=2}^N B_{N,k}(\{w_n(\beta)\}), \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$Z_k(\beta, N) = \frac{1}{N!} B_{N,k}(w_1(\beta), w_2(\beta), \dots, w_{N-1}(\beta), w_N(\beta)),$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , which proves induction step  $P(N)$ . ■

## References

- ▣ Agata Fronczak, Phys. Rev. E, **86**, 041139-041145, (2012).
- ▣ Agata Fronczak, Phys. Rev. E, **87**, 022131-022138, (2013).
- ▣ Herbert S. Wilf, "Generatingfunctionology", Academic, New York, (1990).